

Appl. No. 10/826,915
Amdt. dated April 10, 2006
Reply to Office action of March 21, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Based on a telephone conference call from the Examiner, applicant was made aware of an antecedent problem concerning claim 1 and in accordance with Examiner's suggestion, applicant is filing herewith an amendment under Rule 312 after allowance which was approved by the Examiner, as noted above.

The amendment does not introduce any new material and simply properly reflects the required antecedent basis for "hinge assembly" which is inadvertently typed "leg assembly" in the claims, as allowed.

In accordance with the Examiner's telephone conversation and request to file an amendment under Rule 312, applicant hereby does so and request that the amendment be entered in accordance with the requirements set forth in compliance with the Rules.

Respectfully submitted,

William DeToro

By R.C. Harpmann
R.C. Harpmann, Reg. No. 29,802

(330) 758-7505